c	ase 8:24-cv-00285 Document 1 Filed 02/0	9/24 Page 1 of 30 Page ID #:1				
1	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN					
2	& DOWD LLP BRIAN E. COCHRAN (286202)					
3	San Diego, CA 92101 Talanharan (10/221 1058					
4	BRIAN E. COCHRAN (286202) 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)					
5	bcochran@rgrdiaw.com					
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
9	SOUTHERN DIVISION					
10	CITY OF TAYLOR GENERAL EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT	Case No. 8:24-cv-00285				
11	SYSTEM, on Behalf of Itself and All Others Similarly Situated,	CLASS ACTION				
12	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS				
13	VS.					
14						
15	XPONENTIAL FITNESS, INC., ANTHONY GEISLER, and JOHN MELOUN,					
16	Defendants.					
17	)	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

Plaintiff City of Taylor General Employees Retirement System ("plaintiff"), 1 2 on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated, by plaintiff's undersigned 3 attorneys, alleges the following based upon information and belief as to the investigation conducted by plaintiff's counsel, which included, among other things, 4 a review of U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filings by 5 Xponential Fitness, Inc. ("Xponential" or the "Company"), the findings of Fuzzy 6 Panda Research ("Fuzzy Panda"), securities analyst reports, press releases, and other 7 8 public statements issued by, or about, the Company. Plaintiff believes that substantial additional evidentiary support will exist for the allegations set forth 9 herein after a reasonable opportunity for discovery. 10

11

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action
 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and §27 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the
 "Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. §78aa.

15 2. The claims asserted herein arise under and pursuant to §§10(b) and
16 20(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §§78j(b) and 78t(a), and Rule 10b-5
17 promulgated thereunder by the SEC, 17 C.F.R. §240.10b-5.

3. Venue is proper pursuant to §27 of the Exchange Act, as many of the
acts and conduct complained of herein occurred in this District, and the Company is
headquartered in this District.

4. In connection with the acts alleged in this complaint, defendants,
directly or indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce,
including, but not limited to, the mails, interstate telephone communications, and the
facilities of the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"), a national securities
exchange.

26

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

5. This is a securities class action on behalf of purchasers of Xponential publicly traded Class A common stock between July 26, 2021 and December 7,

2023, inclusive (the "Class Period"), against Xponential and certain of the
 Company's officers for violations of the Exchange Act.

3

#### PARTIES

6. Plaintiff City of Taylor General Employees Retirement System, as set
forth in the accompanying certification incorporated by reference herein, purchased
Xponential common stock during the Class Period and has been damaged thereby.

7 7. Defendant Xponential claims to be the largest global franchisor of
8 boutique fitness brands. The Company maintains its principal executive offices in
9 Irvine, California and its common stock trades on the NYSE under the ticker symbol
10 "XPOF."

8. Defendant Anthony Geisler ("Geisler") is, and was at all relevant times,
 the founder, Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), and a director of Xponential.
 Defendant Geisler is the former CEO of Interactive Solutions Corp. ("ISC"), a casino
 gaming software company.

15 9. Defendant John Meloun ("Meloun") is, and was at all relevant times,
16 the founder and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") of Xponential.

17

18

10. Defendants Geisler and Meloun are collectively referred to herein as the "Individual Defendants."

19 11. Because of the Individual Defendants' positions with the Company, they had access to the adverse undisclosed information about the Company's 2021 business, operations, franchisees, markets, and present and future business prospects 22 via access to internal corporate documents (including the Company's operating 23 plans, franchisee reports, budgets and forecasts, and reports of actual operations 24 compared thereto), conversations and connections with other corporate officers and employees, attendance at management and Board of Directors ("Board") meetings 25 and committees thereof, and via reports and other information provided to them in 26 27 connection therewith.

Each of the above officers of Xponential, by virtue of their high-level 1 12. 2 positions with the Company, directly participated in the management of the 3 Company, was directly involved in the day-to-day operations of the Company at the highest levels, and was privy to confidential proprietary information concerning the 4 5 Company and its business, operations, and franchisee operating performance as alleged herein. The Individual Defendants were involved in drafting, producing, 6 reviewing, and/or disseminating the false and misleading statements and information 7 8 alleged herein, were aware, or recklessly disregarded, that the false and misleading statements were being issued regarding the Company, and approved or ratified these 9 10 statements, in violation of the federal securities laws.

The Individual Defendants, as officers and controlling persons of a 11 13. publicly held company whose common stock is registered with the SEC pursuant to 12 13 the Exchange Act, is traded on the NYSE, and is governed by the provisions of the federal securities laws, each had a duty to promptly disseminate accurate and truthful 14 information with respect to the Company's franchisee performance, operations, 15 business, markets, management, earnings, and present and future business prospects 16 during the Class Period. In addition, the Individual Defendants had a duty to correct 17 18 any previously issued statements that had become materially misleading or untrue, so that the market prices of Xponential publicly traded common stock would be 19 based upon truthful and accurate information. 20The Individual Defendants' misrepresentations and omissions during the Class Period violated these specific 21 requirements and obligations. 22

14. The Individual Defendants also participated in the drafting, preparation,
and/or approval of the various public, shareholder, and investor reports and other
communications complained of herein and were aware of, or recklessly disregarded,
the misstatements contained therein and/or the omissions therefrom, and were aware
of their materially false and misleading nature. Because of their Board memberships
and/or executive and managerial positions with Xponential, each of the Individual

Defendants had access to the adverse undisclosed information about Xponential's 1 2 business, franchisees, prospects, operations, and performance as particularized 3 herein, and knew (or recklessly disregarded) that these adverse facts rendered the positive representations made by or about Xponential and its business and issued or 4 adopted by the Company materially false and misleading. 5

The Individual Defendants, because of their positions of control and 15. 6 authority as officers and/or directors of the Company, were able to and did control 7 8 the content of the various SEC filings, press releases, and other public statements pertaining to the Company during the Class Period. Each Individual Defendant was 9 provided with copies of the documents alleged herein to be misleading prior to or 10 shortly after their issuance and/or had the ability and/or opportunity to prevent their 11 issuance or cause them to be corrected. Accordingly, each of the Individual 12 13 Defendants is responsible for the accuracy of the public reports and releases detailed herein and is therefore primarily liable for the representations contained therein. 14

- Each of the defendants is liable as a participant in a fraudulent scheme 15 16. and course of business that operated as a fraud or deceit on purchasers of Xponential 16 17 common stock by disseminating materially false and misleading statements and/or 18 concealing material adverse facts. The scheme: (i) deceived the investing public 19 regarding Xponential's business, operations, franchisees, present and future business prospects, and the intrinsic value of Xponential common stock; (ii) enabled 20Company insiders, including defendants, to sell Xponential common stock at 21 22 artificially inflated prices; and (iii) caused plaintiff and other members of the Class 23 (defined herein) to purchase Xponential common stock at artificially inflated prices.
- 24

### BACKGROUND

Xponential claims to be the largest global franchisor of boutique fitness 25 17. brands, with a platform offering ten brands in categories that include Pilates, indoor 26 cycling, barre, stretching, rowing, dancing, boxing, running, functional training, and 27 28 yoga.

The Company represents that its franchisees offer accessible and 1 18. 2 personalized workout experiences led by highly qualified instructors in over 2,600 3 studio locations across 48 U.S. states, the District of Columbia, and Canada. Xponential also maintains master franchise or international expansion agreements in 4 14 additional countries. 5

6

As of December 31, 2022, Xponential had over 1,700 franchisees and 19. licenses for more than 1,900 studios contractually obligated to be opened under 7 8 existing franchise agreements in North America.

9 20. Defendants represent that Xponential had built its portfolio of brands 10 through a series of acquisitions, targeting select health and wellness providers. According to the Company's filings with the SEC, its portfolio of brands includes: 11 (i) Club Pilates, the largest pilates brand in the United States; (ii) CycleBar, the 12 13 largest indoor cycling brand in the United States; (iii) StretchLab, a concept offering one-on-one and group stretching services; (iv) Row House, the largest franchised 14 indoor rowing brand in the United States; (v) AKT, a dance-based cardio workout 15 combining toning, interval, and circuit training; (vi) YogaSix, the largest franchised 16 yoga brand in the United States; (vii) Pure Barre, a total body workout that uses the 17 18 ballet barre to perform small isometric movements, and the largest barre brand in the 19 United States; (viii) Stride, a treadmill-based cardio and strength training concept; (ix) Rumble, a boxing-inspired full-body workout; and (x) Body Fit Training, a 2021 functional training and strength-based program.

Xponential is familiar with the operations of its franchisees. First, the 22 21. 23 Company chooses franchise partners through a rigorous vetting and selection 24 process. Then, through its Xponential Playbook, the Company provides franchisees with significant ongoing support, focused on maximizing studio-level productivity 25 and profitability, as well as ensuring consistency in operational quality. Franchisees 26 are incorporated into the Company's corporate platform, through which they 27 leverage integrated systems and shared services. Nearly all of franchisee support 28

functions are integrated at the corporate level of Xponential, with the only
 exceptions being marketing and fitness programming that are specific to each brand.
 22. The Company's franchise agreements have an initial ten-year term,
 which it can terminate if a franchisee is in default thereunder, has failed to meet
 minimum monthly gross revenue quotas, or has failed to select a suitable studio site
 within a specified time period.

7 23. Xponential expects its franchisees to meet and maintain minimum 8 monthly gross revenue quotas by the first and second anniversary of their studio opening. Failure to meet these quotas for 36 consecutive months at any time during 9 10 the term of the franchise agreement can result in the institution of a mandatory corrective training program or termination of the franchise agreement. 11 From inception to December 31, 2022, approximately 600 of the Company's sold licenses 12 13 in North America had been terminated and over 30 had been terminated internationally. 14

15 24. The Company's revenues primarily consist of franchise license
16 revenues and franchise related equipment, merchandise sales, and training revenue.
17 In addition, the Company earns on-demand revenue, service revenue, and other
18 revenue.

19 25. Xponential's SEC filings note that the Company does not record sales by franchisees as revenue and that such sales are not included in its consolidated 2021 financial statements. Accordingly, Xponential routinely provides investors with a number of key performance indicators used by its management which defendants 22 23 state are important in evaluating the Company's performance. These key 24 performance indicators, which include sales by franchisees that are not realized as 25 revenue in the Company's financial statements, include, among others, same-store sales ("SSS") and average unit volume ("AUV"). 26

27 26. Xponential's SEC filings state its SSS reflect the change in period-over28 period sales for its North America same-store base (defined as only sales from

studios in North America that have been open for at least 13 calendar months as of
 the measurement date). Xponential calculates AUV by dividing sales during the
 applicable period for all studios being measured by the number of studios being
 measured. AUV growth is primarily driven by changes in SSS and is also influenced
 by new studio openings. Management uses AUV to assess studio economics.

27. During the Class Period, defendants made materially false and 6 7 misleading statements and omissions regarding Xponential's business, financial 8 results, and prospects. Specifically, defendants failed to disclose that the Company's 9 franchisees - from whom Xponential derived substantially all of its revenue - were largely failing, with the majority of the Company's store brands losing money, 10 dozens of studios operating at a loss (forcing some to close permanently), and more 11 than 100 franchisees listed for sale at a fraction of their initial cost. Despite this grim 12 13 reality, Xponential snookered new franchisees to sign up with the Company with false and misleading promises of robust financial returns, misleading claims 14 regarding past studio performance, and deceptive assurances of corporate support. 15 To take one example, Xponential highlighted the purported success of a CycleBar 16 17 studio in Florida to lure in new franchisees when the franchisee had in fact lost more than half a million dollars in running the business and was planning to file for 18 bankruptcy. According to the franchisee, Brent Zartler: "What they don't tell these 19 franchisees is it's just been a slow, steady death with that studio. . . . I've been 20 21 working in gyms for 20 years, and I've never worked in a business where there's been such a high attrition rate." Another franchisee owner has stated that 22 23 Xponential's representations regarding studio performance "wasn't even close to reality." Defendants concealed and failed to disclose these unfavorable studio 24 dynamics to investors, instead misrepresenting the financial health of Xponential in 25 order to raise hundreds of millions of dollars from the investing public at fraud-26 inflated prices. 27

28. 1 In July 2021, defendants took Xponential public through an initial 2 public offering (the "IPO"), selling over ten million Xponential shares (including a 3 partial exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option) at \$12 per share. Subsequent to the IPO, Xponential conducted two additional registered stock 4 5 offerings which allowed insiders - including defendant Geisler and Xponential's Chairman Mark Grabowski ("Grabowski") - to sell additional stock: (i) an April 6 2022 secondary stock offering at \$20 per share; and (ii) a February 2023 secondary 7 8 offering at \$24.50 per share (the "SPOs"). In total, defendant Geisler and Grabowski sold nearly \$270 million worth of Xponential stock in the SPOs and in secondary 9 10 market transactions.

Then, on June 26, 2023, short-biased analyst firm Fuzzy Panda 11 29. published a research report titled "Xponential Fitness (XPOF) - 'Abusive Franchisor 12 13 That Is A House Of Cards'" (the "Fuzzy Panda Report"). The Fuzzy Panda Report claimed to be based on the examination of over 16,000 pages included among 64 14 Franchise Disclosure Documents ("FDDs") filed with the Federal Trade 15 Commission and various state regulators, as well as a "multitude of interviews" and 16 other information. The Fuzzy Panda Report stated that the allegations contained 17 therein "often had multiple sources tell us corroborating facts" and that the authors 18 would "happily provide interview transcripts, contact information, and any other 19 documentation received from those sources who have agreed we can share the 2021 information with the SEC, State Attorneys Generals, government regulators, or reputable journalists." 22

30. Among other revelations, the Fuzzy Panda Report alleged that
defendant Geisler has had a long history of misleading investors, including being
exposed on camera for using "boiler room" tactics to mislead investors in connection
with a prior venture and issuing false claims that Xponential "never closed a store."
A boiler room is a scheme in which salespeople apply high-pressure sales tactics to
persuade investors to purchase securities, often including speculative and fraudulent

securities. The Fuzzy Panda Report disclosed that its examination of 64 FDDs
 demonstrated *8 of 10* Xponential brands are losing money monthly and *more than 50%* of its studios never make a positive financial return. The Fuzzy Panda Report
 also revealed that *more than 100* of Xponential's franchises were for sale at a price
 75% less than their initial cost and that the SSS and AUV the Company reports to
 investors selectively and misleadingly exclude underperforming stores.

31. After the Fuzzy Panda Report was issued to the public, the price of
Xponential common stock plummeted more than *37%*, or \$9.39 per share on heavy
trading volume, to close at \$15.72 per share on June 27, 2023, causing plaintiff and
other Class members to suffer substantial economic losses and damages under the
federal securities laws.

12 32. Although Xponential attempted to deny the allegations in the Fuzzy 13 Panda Report, on December 7, 2023, Bloomberg Businessweek ("Businessweek") published a damning exposé on the Company that largely corroborated the Fuzzy 14 Panda Report's allegations titled "Club Pilates, Pure Barre Owners Say Xponential 15 Left Them Bankrupt." The article stated that *Businessweek* had interviewed dozens 16 of former business partners, employees, and franchisees of the Company who 17 revealed that Xponential misled many franchisees into a "financial nightmare." The 18 article stated that defendant Geisler "has a track record of combative management, 19 deploying growth-at-all-costs tactics and unleashing aggressive reprisals against 2021 anyone who gets in his way." The article disclosed that these unscrupulous tactics caused "many of the company's franchisees . . . [to] have either declared bankruptcy 22 23 or los[e] their retirement savings."

33. Following the publication of the *Businessweek* article, the price of
Xponential common stock fell more than 26% over two trading days on heavy
trading volume to close at less than \$9 per share on December 11, 2023, causing
plaintiff and other Class members to suffer additional economic losses and damages
under the federal securities laws.

34. As of the filing of this complaint, the price of Xponential common stock
 has largely not recovered, indicating that the market finds the allegations in the
 Fuzzy Panda Report and the *Businessweek* article to be credible and the Company's
 denials and explanations to be insufficient to refute the allegations contained therein.

5 6

#### DEFENDANTS' MATERIALLY FALSE AND MISLEADING STATEMENTS AND OMISSIONS ISSUED DURING THE CLASS PERIOD

The Class Period begins on July 26, 2021. On that date, Xponential 7 35. 8 filed with the SEC a prospectus for the IPO on Form 424B4, which incorporated and 9 formed part of a registration statement signed by the Individual Defendants (the 10 "Prospectus"). The Prospectus stated that Xponential had generated long-term "AUV of \$449 thousand and \$283 thousand in 2019 and 2020, respectively, and 11 \$453 thousand and \$257 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2020 and 12 13 2021, respectively." The Prospectus further stated that Xponential provided "franchisees extensive support to help maximize the performance of their studios, 14 while leveraging [its] corporate platform to accelerate growth and enhance 15 profitability." 16

36. On August 24, 2021, Xponential issued a press release announcing its
financial results for the second fiscal quarter ending June 30, 2021 (the "2Q21
Release"). The 2Q21 Release stated the Company had achieved year-over-year
system-wide SSS growth of 129% and a "[r]ecovery of nearly 90% run-rate AUVs
as compared to January 31, 2020, placing the Company on track to reach prepandemic run-rate AUVs by early 2022." (Footnote omitted.)

37. On August 25, 2021, Xponential filed with the SEC its Form 10-Q for
the quarter ended June 30, 2021 (the "Q2 2021 Form 10-Q") signed by defendant
Meloun. In addition, defendants Geisler and Meloun provided certifications
pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 thereon ("SOX certifications") that the
filing was free from fraud, accurate, and materially complete. The Q2 2021 Form

1 10-Q contained the statements regarding Xponential's purported quarterly results
 2 contained in the 2Q21 Release.

3 38. On November 11, 2021, Xponential issued a press release announcing
its financial results for the third fiscal quarter ending September 30, 2021 (the "3Q21
5 Release"). The 3Q21 Release stated the Company had achieved year-over-year
6 North American SSS growth of 65% and a "[n]early 90% North American run-rate
7 average unit volume (AUV) recovery compared to January 31, 2020."

39. On November 12, 2021, Xponential filed with the SEC its Form 10-Q
for the quarter ended September 30, 2021 (the "Q3 2021 Form 10-Q") signed by
defendant Meloun. In addition, defendants Geisler and Meloun provided SOX
certifications that the filing was free from fraud, accurate, and materially complete.
The Q3 2021 Form 10-Q contained the statements regarding Xponential's purported
quarterly results contained in the 3Q21 Release.

40. On March 3, 2022, Xponential issued a press release announcing its
financial results for the fourth fiscal quarter and year ending December 31, 2021 (the
"FY21 Release"). The FY21 Release stated the Company had achieved year-overyear North American SSS growth of 53% and run-rate AUV of \$446,000 for the
quarter, compared to run-rate AUV of \$286,000 in the prior-year period.

19 On March 7, 2022, Xponential filed with the SEC its Form 10-K for the 41. quarter and year ended December 31, 2021 (the "2021 Form 10-K") signed by 20 21 defendants Geisler and Meloun. In addition, defendants Geisler and Meloun provided SOX certifications that the filing was free from fraud, accurate, and 22 23 materially complete. The 2021 Form 10-K contained the statements regarding 24 Xponential's purported quarterly results contained in the FY21 Release. The 2021 25 Form 10-K also stated: "Approximately 77% of our revenue in 2021 and 73% of our 26 revenue in 2020 was considered recurring, and we believe this percentage will 27 increase as franchise royalty fees are expected to account for a greater percentage of our revenue over time." 28

42. Also on March 7, 2022, Xponential presented at the Raymond James
 Institutional Investors conference. During the conference, defendant Geisler
 represented to investors that "[w]e have never permanently closed the store in the
 history of our business," stating in pertinent part as follows:

So affluent, engaged customers, fastest-growing segment of the \$97 billion fitness industry. Through COVID, while the industry contracted by 30%, we actually grew. *We have never permanently closed the store in the history of our business*. We actually closed about 1,500 stores temporarily for COVID, and we opened up 25% more than that when we reopened.

11 43. On May 12, 2022, Xponential issued a press release announcing its financial results for the first fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2022 (the "1Q22 12 13 Release"). The 1Q22 Release stated the Company had achieved year-over-year North American SSS growth of 47% and run-rate AUV of \$450,000 for the quarter. 14 On May 13, 2022, Xponential filed with the SEC its Form 10-Q for the 15 44. quarter ended March 31, 2022 (the "Q1 2022 Form 10-Q") signed by defendant 16 Meloun. In addition, defendants Geisler and Meloun provided SOX certifications 17 that the filing was free from fraud, accurate, and materially complete. The Q1 2022 18 Form 10-Q contained the statements regarding Xponential's purported quarterly 19 20 results contained in the 1Q22 Release.

45. On July 27, 2022, Xponential issued a press release announcing it
expected to deliver strong 2022 second quarter results and was then on track to meet
or exceed 2022 full-year guidance. The press release also set forth certain
preliminary operating highlights for the second quarter of 2022, the period ending
June 30, 2022, including 25% SSS growth and run-rate AUV of \$480,000.

46. On August 11, 2022, Xponential issued a press release announcing its
financial results for the second fiscal quarter ending June 30, 2022 (the "2Q22)

28

5

6

7

8

9

Release"). The 2Q22 Release stated the Company had achieved year-over-year
 North American SSS growth of 25% and run-rate AUV of \$480,000 for the quarter.
 47. Later that day, the Individual Defendants held a conference call with
 analysts and investors to discuss the Company's operations and earnings release.
 During the conference call, defendant Geisler highlighted the purported profitability
 of the Company's studio locations, stating in pertinent part as follows:

Importantly, *as we continue to open more studios and as AUVs continue to grow, our profitability increases*, driven by high-margin royalties from growing system-wide sales with an active pipeline of approximately 2,800 studios contractually obligated to open globally and only about 3/4 of our conservative North American total addressable market currently penetrated.

13

14

7

8

9

10

11

12

## Studio openings are not expected to slow anytime soon, continuing to drive profitability.

48. On August 12, 2022, Xponential filed with the SEC its Form 10-Q for
the quarter ended June 30, 2022 (the "Q2 2022 Form 10-Q") signed by defendant
Meloun. In addition, defendants Geisler and Meloun provided SOX certifications
that the filing was free from fraud, accurate, and materially complete. The Q2 2022
Form 10-Q contained the statements regarding Xponential's purported quarterly
results contained in the 2Q22 Release.

- 49. On November 10, 2022, Xponential issued a press release announcing
  its financial results for the third fiscal quarter ending September 30, 2022 (the "3Q22
  Release"). The 3Q22 Release stated that the Company had achieved year-over-year
  North American SSS growth of 17% and run-rate AUV of \$489,000 for the quarter.
  50. Later that day, the Individual Defendants held a conference call with
- analysts and investors to discuss the Company's operations and earnings release.
  During the conference call, defendant Meloun highlighted the Company's purported
- 28

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

AUV and claimed that the figure could reach into the "high 600s" from its current
 level, stating in pertinent part as follows:

AUVs will continue to increase over time. I do think long term or even in the short term, you'll see elevated same-store sales throughout 2023, probably getting back to that mid to high single digits at the end of next year.

With that, obviously, AUVs will continue to climb. What is that ceiling at which you kind of – it's kind of like a car, right, and they can only go so fast because at some point, they're pushing through air and it's hard to move faster and faster. **But I think our AUVs, we don't** *know where that's at yet. Is it possible that we do see getting to the high 600s? I do think that's definitely a possibility having the entire system pushing close to 600,000 AUV. We're at \$500,000 roughly now, and we're definitely not slowing down from a growth perspective.* 

16 51. Also on November 10, 2022, Xponential filed with the SEC its Form
10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2022 (the "Q3 2022 Form 10-Q") signed
by defendant Meloun. In addition, defendants Geisler and Meloun provided SOX
certifications that the filing was free from fraud, accurate, and materially complete.
The Q3 2022 Form 10-Q contained the statements regarding Xponential's purported
quarterly results contained in the 3Q22 Release.

52. On March 2, 2023, Xponential issued a press release announcing its
financial results for the fourth fiscal quarter and year ending December 31, 2022 (the
"FY22 Release"). The FY22 Release stated that the Company had achieved yearover-year North American SSS growth of 17% and run-rate AUV of \$522,000 for
the fourth quarter.

53. Later that day, the Individual Defendants held a conference call withanalysts and investors to discuss the Company's operations and earnings release.

3

4

5

6

7

26

27

28

During the conference call, defendant Meloun stated that the Company's impressive
 AUV growth in 2022 had continued into 2023, stating in pertinent part as follows:

We had really strong AUV growth in 2022. The momentum so far into 2023 is very promising. So for us, the more studios we get open, the more our installed base continues to exceed expectations, 20%, 22%, 25% same-store sales, high teens in Q4. So far in Q1, we're seeing that carry into the year.

8 On March 6, 2023, Xponential filed with the SEC its Form 10-K for the 54. year ended December 31, 2022 (the "2022 Form 10-K") signed by defendants 9 10 Geisler and Meloun, among others. In addition, defendants Geisler and Meloun provided SOX certifications that the filing was free from fraud, accurate, and 11 materially complete. The 2022 Form 10-K contained the statements regarding 12 13 Xponential's purported quarterly results contained in the FY22 Release. The 2022 Form 10-K also stated: "Approximately 71% of our revenue in 2022 and 77% of our 14 15 revenue in 2021 was considered recurring, and we believe this percentage will 16 increase as franchise royalty fees are expected to account for a greater percentage of 17 our revenue over time."

18 55. On May 4, 2023, Xponential issued a press release announcing its
19 financial results for the first quarter ending March 31, 2023 (the "1Q23 Release").
20 The 1Q23 Release stated that the Company had achieved year-over-year North
21 American SSS growth of 20% and run-rate AUV of \$542,000 for the quarter.

56. Later that day, Xponential held a conference call with analysts and
investors to discuss the Company's operations and earnings release. During the
conference call, defendant Geisler highlighted the Company's purported robust
AUV and SSS growth, stating in pertinent part as follows:

We believe that AUV growth is the most direct measure of the healthof our franchise system, and I am pleased to report the momentum inAUV growth has continued to build in the second quarter. We also

# saw same-store sales growth of 20% in the first quarter, up from 17% in the previous 2 quarters.

57. On May 5, 2023, Xponential filed with the SEC its Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2023 (the "Q1 2023 Form 10-Q") signed by defendant Meloun. In addition, defendants Geisler and Meloun provided SOX certifications that the filing was free from fraud, accurate, and materially complete. The Q1 2023 Form 10-Q contained the statements regarding Xponential's purported quarterly results contained in the 1Q23 Release.

9 58. The statements referenced in ¶¶35-57 above were each materially false
10 and misleading when made because they misrepresented and failed to disclose the
11 following adverse facts, which were known to defendants or recklessly disregarded
12 by them as follows:

13

1

2

(a) that Xponential had permanently closed at least 30 stores;

14 (b) that Xponential's reported SSS and AUV metrics had been
15 misstated by excluding underperforming stores;

16

(c) that 8 out of 10 Xponential brands were losing money monthly;

17 (d) that over 50% of Xponential studios did not make a positive18 financial return;

19 (e) that over 60% of Xponential's revenue was one-time and non-20 recurring;

(f) that more than 100 of the Company's franchises were for sale at
a price that is at least 75% less than their initial cost;

(g) that Xponential had misled many of its franchisees into opening
franchises by misrepresenting the financial profile and profitability of its studios, as
well as the expected rate of return for new studio openings;

(h) that many Xponential franchisees were substantially in debt,
suffering high attrition rates and running non-viable studios that had no realistic path
to profitability; and

(i) that based on the foregoing, defendants lacked a reasonable
 factual basis for their positive statements about Xponential's then-current business
 operations and future financial prospects.

1

4 59. Then, on June 26, 2023, Fuzzy Panda published the Fuzzy Panda 5 Report, which, among other things, represented that: (i) defendant Geisler has had a long history of misleading investors; (ii) Xponential has issued a series of misleading 6 statements about its store closures and the overall financial health of its franchisee 7 8 base; (iii) more than 50% of the Company's studios never make a positive financial return; (iv) more than 100 of Company's franchises are for sale at a price that is at 9 10 least 75% less than their initial cost; (v) 8 out of 10 Xponential brands are losing money monthly; (vi) the Company's publicly reported SSS and AUV metrics 11 misleadingly exclude underperforming stores; (vii) over 60% of Xponential's 12 13 revenue is one-time and non-recurring; and (viii) at least 30 Xponential stores had been permanently closed. 14

15 60. In response to these revelations, the price of Xponential common stock
16 fell more than 37%, or \$9.39 per share on heavy trading volume of over 12 million
17 shares traded, to close at \$15.72 per share on June 27, 2023. However, because of
18 defendants' denials and continued dissemination of materially false and misleading
19 statements and omissions and failure to disclose the full truth, the price of Xponential
20 common stock remained artificially inflated.

- 61. On June 28, 2023, Xponential issued a response to the Fuzzy Panda
  Report. Although the Company's response attempted to refute the Fuzzy Panda
  Report, it did not address certain aspects of the Fuzzy Panda Report directly or
  concretely such as the allegation that eight out of ten of the Company's brands are
  losing money or detail whether any stores had been permanently closed. With
  respect to the Company's calculation of SSS and AUV, the response stated in
  pertinent part as follows:
- 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

AUV Calculation: Quarterly Run-rate AUV consists of average quarterly sales for all studios that are at least six months old at the beginning of the respective quarter, multiplied by four. Studios with zero sales in the period have always been excluded from the calculation. Inclusion of these studios would not result in a material difference. For Q1 2023, recalculating Xponential's systemwide AUV to include these studios would result in a 0.9% change to the AUV figure (\$542,000 vs. \$538,000).

SSS Calculation: Studios are not included in SSS calculations unless they have 13 months of continuous sales. This is a common method for calculating same store sales and is disclosed in Xponential's audited SEC filings. The Q1 2023 data set of almost 2,000 studios open continuously for 13 months or longer as of March 31, 2023 yielded robust Q1 2023 same store sales of 20%.

As summarized by a Piper Sandler analyst report issued in support of 15 62. the Company and relaying its conversations with management: "Any studio that 16 generates zero sales for even just one month is removed until 13 consecutive months 17 of sales are generated again." Although these responses sought to downplay the 18 impact of the accounting tactic on Xponential's overall financial results, they 19 essentially confirmed a key allegation of the Fuzzy Panda Report: that the Company 20 excludes studios that have no sales in a given month even if the lack of sales is due 21 to underperformance. 22

63. After the Company's response was digested by the market, the price of
Xponential common stock remained substantially below the price of the stock prior
to the release of the Fuzzy Panda Report, indicating that the market found the Fuzzy
Panda Report credible and the Company's response insufficient to effectively rebut
the report's allegations. Even buy-side analysts such as Morgan Stanley who are
generally supportive of the Company noted that the "issues raised around

economics/studio performance are likely to remain a focus for investors and would 1 2 benefit from better disclosures."

3 64. Then, on December 7, 2023, Businessweek published a damning exposé on the Company that largely corroborated the Fuzzy Panda Report's allegations 4 titled "Club Pilates, Pure Barre Owners Say Xponential Left Them Bankrupt." The 5 article stated that Businessweek had interviewed dozens of former business partners, 6 employees, and franchisees of the Company who revealed that Xponential misled 7 many franchisees into a "financial nightmare." The article stated that defendant 8 Geisler "has a track record of combative management, deploying growth-at-all-costs 9 tactics and unleashing aggressive reprisals against anyone who gets in his way." The 10 article disclosed that these unscrupulous tactics caused "many of the company's 11 franchisees . . . [to] have either declared bankruptcy or los[e] their retirement 12 13 savings" and described in detail the ways in which Xponential obscured the true financial health of its studios and induced franchisees to open new studios based on 14 false and misleading information regarding their financial health and likely 15 profitability. 16

17 65. Following the publication of the Businessweek article, the price of Xponential common stock fell more than 26% over two trading days on heavy 18 trading volume to close at less than \$9 per share on December 11, 2023, causing 19 plaintiff and other Class members to suffer additional economic losses and damages 2021 under the federal securities laws.

As of the date of this complaint, the price of Xponential common stock 22 66. 23 remains substantially below the price of the stock prior to the issuance of the Fuzzy Panda Report. 24

25

#### **ADDITIONAL SCIENTER ALLEGATIONS**

As alleged herein, defendants acted with scienter in that they: (i) knew 26 67. that the public documents and statements issued or disseminated in the name of the 27 Company were materially false and misleading; (ii) knew that such statements or 28

documents would be issued or disseminated to the investing public; and (iii) 1 2 knowingly and substantially participated or acquiesced in the issuance or 3 dissemination of such statements or documents as primary violations of the federal securities laws. Defendants, by virtue of their receipt of information reflecting the 4 5 true facts regarding Xponential, their control over, and/or receipt and/or modification of Xponential's allegedly materially misleading misstatements and/or 6 7 their associations with the Company which made them privy to confidential 8 proprietary information concerning Xponential, participated in the fraudulent scheme alleged herein. 9

68. 10 The fraudulent scheme described herein could not have been perpetrated during the Class Period without the knowledge and complicity of, or at 11 least the reckless disregard by, personnel at the highest levels of the Company, 12 13 including the Individual Defendants. Given their executive-level positions with Xponential, the Individual Defendants controlled the contents of Xponential's public 14 statements during the Class Period. The Individual Defendants were each provided 15 with or had access to the information alleged herein to be false and/or misleading 16 prior to or shortly after their issuance and had the ability and opportunity to prevent 17 their issuance or cause them to be corrected. Because of their positions and access 18 to material non-public information, the Individual Defendants knew or recklessly 19 disregarded that the adverse facts specified herein had not been disclosed to and were 2021 being concealed from the public and that the positive representations that were being 22 made were false and misleading. As a result, each of the defendants was responsible 23 for the accuracy of Xponential's corporate statements and is, therefore, responsible 24 and liable for the representations contained therein.

69. Plaintiff also alleges that the scienter of the Individual Defendants who,
as executive officers of the Company, knew or recklessly ignored facts related to the
core operations of Xponential, can be imputed to Xponential. In addition to being
the executives most closely involved in the aspects of the Company's business at

1 issue – such as studio profitability and the reporting of SSS and AUV numbers – the 2 Individual Defendants were also personally implicated in the fraud as revealed by 3 the Fuzzy Panda Report and the Businessweek article.

4

70. In addition, defendants were motivated to engage in the fraudulent course of conduct alleged herein to allow corporate insiders, including defendants 5 Geisler and Meloun, to collectively sell more than 11.7 million Xponential common 6 stock for gross proceeds of more than \$269 million during the Class Period. 7 8 Defendant Geisler alone sold over \$46 million worth of his Xponential shares at prices as high as \$33.49 in an approximately three-and-a-half month period, from 9 February 10, 2023 to May 25, 2023. These sales were suspicious in both timing and 10 amount and out of line with his prior trading patterns. 11

In addition, during the Class Period defendants conducted three 12 71. 13 registered offerings of Xponential Class A common stock in the IPO and the SPOs. In the IPO, Xponential sold over ten million Xponential shares (including a partial 14 exercise of the underwriter's over-allotment option) at \$12 per share. In April 2022, 15 Xponential conducted a secondary offering of stock which allowed certain insiders 16 to sell \$90 million (not including the exercise of any over-allotment option) worth 17 18 of Xponential stock at \$20 per share, as well as a February 2023 secondary offering of stock which allowed certain insiders to sell \$122.5 million (not including the 19 exercise of any over-allotment option) worth of Xponential stock at \$24.50 per share. 20 21 72. Further, the scienter of defendants is underscored by the SOX certifications of defendants Geisler and Meloun, which acknowledged their

- 22 23 responsibility to investors for establishing and maintaining controls to ensure that 24 material information about Xponential was made known to them and that the
- 25
- 26

#### LOSS CAUSATION

27 73. During the Class Period, as detailed herein, defendants engaged in a scheme to deceive the market and a course of conduct that artificially inflated the 28

Company's disclosure-related controls were operating effectively.

prices of Xponential common stock and operated as a fraud or deceit on Class Period
purchasers of Xponential common stock by failing to disclose and misrepresenting
the adverse facts detailed herein. When defendants' prior misrepresentations and
fraudulent conduct were disclosed and became apparent to the market, the price of
Xponential common stock declined significantly as the prior artificial inflation came
out of the price of the Company's common stock.

7 74. As a result of their purchases of Xponential common stock during the 8 Class Period, plaintiff and the other Class members suffered economic loss, *i.e.*, damages, under the federal securities laws. Defendants' false and misleading 9 10 statements had their intended effect and caused Xponential common stock to trade at artificially inflated levels throughout the Class Period, with Xponential's common 11 stock price reaching a high of \$33.58 per share on May 1, 2023 - more than triple 12 13 the price of Xponential common stock in the immediate aftermath of the publication of the Businessweek article. 14

15 75. By concealing from investors the adverse facts detailed herein, 16 defendants presented a misleading picture of Xponential's business, franchisees, and 17 operations. When the truth about the Company was revealed to the market, the price 18 of Xponential common stock fell significantly. The price decline removed the 19 inflation from the price of Xponential common stock, causing real economic loss to 20 investors who had purchased Xponential common stock during the Class Period.

- 76. The decline in the price of Xponential common stock after the
  corrective disclosure came to light was the direct result of the nature and extent of
  defendants' fraudulent misrepresentations being revealed to investors and the
  market. The timing and magnitude of the price decline in Xponential common stock
  negate any inference that the losses suffered by plaintiff and the other Class members
  were caused by changed market conditions, macroeconomic or industry factors, or
  Company-specific facts unrelated to defendants' fraudulent conduct.
- 28

The economic loss, *i.e.*, damages, suffered by plaintiff and the other 77. 1 Class members was a direct result of defendants' fraudulent scheme to artificially 2 3 inflate the prices of Xponential common stock and the subsequent significant decline in the value of Xponential common stock when defendants' prior misrepresentations 4 and other fraudulent conduct were revealed. 5

6

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of 7 78. 8 Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a class consisting of all those who purchased Xponential publicly traded Class A common stock during the Class 9 10 Period and who were damaged thereby (the "Class"). Excluded from the Class are defendants and their families, the officers and directors of the Company, at all 11 relevant times, members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, 12 13 heirs, successors or assigns, and any entity in which defendants have or had a controlling interest. 14

The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members 15 79. is impracticable. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to plaintiff 16 at this time and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, plaintiff 17 believes that there are thousands of members in the proposed Class. Record owners 18 and other members of the Class may be identified from records maintained by 19 Xponential or its transfer agent and may be notified of the pendency of this action 20by mail, using the form of notice similar to that customarily used in securities class 21 22 actions.

23

80. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class, as all members of the Class are similarly affected by defendants' wrongful conduct 24 in violation of federal law complained of herein. 25

Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members 26 81. of the Class and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class action and 27 securities litigation. 28

82. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class
 and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the
 Class. Among the questions of law and fact common to the Class are:

4 (a) whether the federal securities laws were violated by defendants'
5 acts as alleged herein;

6 (b) whether statements made by defendants to the investing public
7 during the Class Period misrepresented material facts about the business and
8 operations of Xponential;

- 9 (c) whether the prices of Xponential common stock were artificially
  10 inflated during the Class Period; and
- 11 (d) to what extent the members of the Class have sustained damages12 and the proper measure of damages.
- 13 83. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and
  14 efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is
  15 impracticable. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by individual Class members
  16 may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it
  17 impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the wrongs done to them.
  18 There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.
- 19

#### APPLICABILITY OF PRESUMPTION OF RELIANCE: FRAUD ON THE MARKET DOCTRINE

84. At all relevant times, the market for Xponential common stock was an
efficient market for the following reasons, among others:

(a) Xponential common stock met the requirements for listing and
 was listed and actively traded on the NYSE, a highly efficient stock market;

(b) as a regulated issuer, Xponential filed periodic public reports with the SEC and the NYSE;

(c) Xponential regularly communicated with public investors via
 established market communication mechanisms, including the regular dissemination

of press releases on the national circuits of major newswire services and other wide ranging public disclosures, such as communications with the financial press and
 other similar reporting services; and

4 (d) Xponential was followed by securities analysts employed by
5 major brokerage firms who wrote reports that were distributed to the sales force and
6 certain customers of their respective brokerage firms. Each of these reports was
7 publicly available and entered the public marketplace.

8 85. As a result of the foregoing, the market for Xponential common stock
9 promptly digested current information regarding Xponential from all publicly
10 available sources and reflected such information in the price of the common stock.
11 Under these circumstances, all purchasers of Xponential common stock during the
12 Class Period suffered similar injury through their purchase of Xponential common
13 stock at artificially inflated prices and a presumption of reliance applies.

### 14 15

16

17

#### COUNT I

#### Violation of §10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder Against All Defendants

18
86. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained
above as if fully set forth herein.

87. During the Class Period, defendants disseminated or approved the
materially false and misleading statements specified above, which they knew, or
deliberately disregarded, were misleading in that they contained misrepresentations
and failed to disclose material facts necessary in order to make the statements made,
in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.

88. Defendants: (i) employed devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud;
(ii) made untrue statements of material fact and/or omitted to state material facts
necessary to make the statements not misleading; and (iii) engaged in acts, practices,

1	and a course of business that operated as a fraud and deceit upon the purchasers of			
2	the Company's common stock during the Class Period.			
3	89. Plaintiff and the Class have suffered damages in that, in reliance on the			
4	integrity of the market, they paid artificially inflated prices for Xponential common			
5	stock. Plaintiff and the Class would not have purchased Xponential common stock			
6	at the prices they paid, or at all, if they had been aware that the market prices had			
7	been artificially and falsely inflated by defendants' misleading statements.			
8	90. As a direct and proximate result of these defendants' wrongful conduct,			
9	plaintiff and the other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with			
10	their purchases of Xponential common stock during the Class Period.			
11	COUNT II			
12	Violation of §20(a) of the Exchange Act			
13	Against All Defendants			
14	91. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained			
15	above as if fully set forth herein.			
16	92. The Individual Defendants acted as controlling persons of Xponential			
17	within the meaning of §20(a) of the Exchange Act as alleged herein. By reason of			
18	their positions as officers and/or directors of Xponential, and their ownership of			
19	Xponential stock, the Individual Defendants had the power and authority to cause			
20	Xponential to engage in the wrongful conduct complained of herein. Xponential			
21	controlled the Individual Defendants and each of its employees.			
22	93. By reason of such conduct, defendants are liable pursuant to $\$20(a)$ of			
23	the Exchange Act.			
24	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
25	WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:			
26	A. Determining that this action is a proper class action, designating			
27	plaintiff as Lead Plaintiff and certifying plaintiff as a Class representative under Rule			
28	23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and plaintiff's counsel as Lead Counsel;			

1	B. Awarding compensatory damages in favor of plaintiff and the other				
2	Class members against all defendants, jointly and severally, for all damages				
3	sustained as a result of defendants' wrongdoing, in an amount to be proven at trial,				
4	including interest thereon;				
5	C. Awarding plaintiff and the Class their reasonable costs and expenses				
6	incurred in this action, including counsel fees and expert fees; and				
7	D. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.				
8		JUR	RY DEMAND		
9	Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.				
10	DATED: Feb	oruary 9, 2024	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD I LP		
11			BRIAN E. COCHRAN		
12					
13			s/ Brian E. Cochran BRIAN E. COCHRAN		
14			655 West Broadway, Suite 1900		
15			San Diego, CA 92101-8498 Telephone: 619/231-1058		
16			619/231-7423 (fax) bcochran@rgrdlaw.com		
17			Attornevs for Plaintiff		
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
			- 27 -		