

Geophysics and Remote Sensing for Pegmatite Exploration: Examples from Australia and Canada

Regis Neroni



Toronto, 5-8 March 2023, PDAC Convention

Disclaimer: The opinions expressed in this presentation and on the following slides are solely those of the presenter. NewGen Geo does not guarantee the accuracy or reliability of the information provided herein. Copyright © 2023 | NewGen Geo Pty Ltd.





Introduction

Case Study 1: Ravensthorpe, Western Australia

Case Study 2: Cancet, Quebec

Introduction

"the pegmatites are usually non-responsive for geophysical methods and thus the nature of relationship between a dataset and the deposits is difficult to estimate", Leväniemi (2013).

"Rare element pegmatites are best described as geophysical non-responders", Trueman and Cerný (1982).

"Geophysical surveys cannot readily distinguish between pegmatite and adjacent granite intrusions", Barber, Trench and Groves (2022).

"Pegmatites are commonly considered as geophysically invisible because the physical property contrasts between pegmatites and their wall rocks are too small to distinguish using geophysical methods", Haase and Pohl (2022).

"The results, however, did not confirm a geophysical signature of the pegmatites", Steiner (2019).

"In general terms, using geophysical surveys to locate or delimit contacts between pegmatites and host rocks in the Kaustinen area turned out to be very challenging.", Ahtola et al (2015).



Introduction

Case Study 1: Ravensthorpe, Western Australia

Case Study 2: Cancet, Quebec

Ravensthorpe Project - Regional Geology



 Pegmatites are hosted in basaltic, andesitic and dacitic volcaniclastic rocks of the Neoarchean Annabelle Volcanics formation within the Yilgarn Craton of Western Australia.



✓ High silica content

- ✓ Often form discrete (paleo-) topographic highs more likely to outcrop.
- ✓ Can break down into a lag footprint often much greater than the parent dyke.

✓ High potassium content

- ✓ Large K-feldspar phenocrysts persist longer in soil.
- ✓ K is easily absorbed in the lattice of clays.
- K can be taken up by plants and recycled or concentrated in topsoil.
- Airborne gamma-ray spectrometry can detect higher potassium content in and above the granitic pegmatites compared to the volcanic complex host.



Picture of an eroded narrow pegmatitic dyke in the Pilbara region of WA

Understanding background levels





• It is important to interrogate the data compartmentalised by lithology and understand the subtle variations within.



Ternary radiometric map of WA (courtesy of GSWA)

K concentration distribution within the Annabelle Volcanics over GSWA 500k geology

The need for resolution





Illustration of the notion of "field of view"

K concentration distribution within the Annabelle Volcanics over satellite imagery

Exploration results

• Most mapped pegmatites coincide with apparent K anomalism and new targets are generated.





Mapping and sampling results over processed K radiometric data (https://www.bulletinresources.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/2405143.pdf)

LIDAR surveying to pinpoint outcrops



• Small pegmatite outcrops can be identified in detailed LiDAR DTM data even under thick vegetation.







Introduction

Case Study 1: Ravensthorpe, Western Australia

Case Study 2: Cancet, Quebec

Cancet Project - Regional Geology



 Pegmatites are hosted in amphibolised basalts of the Mesoarchean Guyer Group within the eastern Superior geological Province of Canada.



Regional geology map of Quebec (courtesy of SIGEOM) *https://wcsecure.weblink.com.au/pdf/WR1/02541933.pdf

**https://wcsecure.weblink.com.au/pdf/WR1/02618976.pdf

Aera selection from regional airborne magnetics



Geo-tectonic interpretation over Cancet regional airborne magnetic TMI RTP TILT image and regional geology (courtesy of SIGEOM)

Magnetic susceptibility of pegmatites



- Non-magnetic pegmatites intrude preferentially along the contact of ultramafic volcanics, gabbro and highly magnetic peridotite.
- Magnetics is not a reliable tool to directly or indirectly map pegmatites.



Handheld magnetic susceptibility measurements along WC22-016

Cancet 2017 ground magnetic survey TMI RTP image (50m line spacing)

Density of pegmatites



• Pegmatites (and other felsic intrusives) within mafic and ultramafic host rocks are clearly identifiable as narrow linear lows in detailed gravity imagery.



Cancet 2022 ground gravity GLiT imagery (50m line spacing)

The need for good quality datasets





- Low amplitude and narrow anomalies require high quality microgravity datasets.
- Note the difficulty to interpret any apparent dip.

esponse from a ~20m wide vertical dyke @ -0.3 g/cm³

Residual Bouguer Anomaly (@ 2.67 g/cm³) profile across Cancet main pegmatite

Cancet 2022 ground gravity GLiT imagery (50m line spacing)

Drill targeting from microgravity







Greater Cancet 2022 ground GLiT gravity imagery (50m and 100m line spacing)

Adina 2022 ground gravity GLiT imagery (100m line spacing)



Introduction

Case Study 1: Ravensthorpe, Western Australia

Case Study 2: Cancet, Quebec

. . . .

Pegmatites are NOT geophysical non-responders.

The presence of pegmatites can be expressed directly or indirectly in high-resolution and high-quality geophysical and remote sensing datasets, in the right geological environment.

Gamma-ray spectrometry surveying can identify surficial pegmatites as discrete potassium anomalies when background levels are adequately considered.

High-resolution LiDAR digital terrain models can map small pegmatite outcrops even under thick vegetation cover.

Microgravity surveying can delineate pegmatites which intrude into denser lithologies as discrete and linear gravity lows.

Regional airborne magnetics can be used to select areas featuring appropriate geo-tectonic settings favourable for pegmatite emplacement.

Other geophysical methods are also used for pegmatite exploration with varying degrees of success.



<u>References:</u>

Ahtola, T., Kuusela, J., Käpyaho, A. and Kontoniemi, O. 2015: Overview of the lithium pegmatite exploration in the Kaustinen area in 2003-2012. Geological Survey of Finland, Report of Investigation 220.

Phelps-Barber, Z., Trench, A. and Groves, D.I. 2022: Recent pegmatite hosted spodumene discoveries in Western Australia: insights for lithium exploration in Australia and globally, Applied Earth Science, 131:2, 100-113.

Haase, C. and Pohl, C.M. 2022: Petrophysical Database for European Pegmatite Exploration -EuroPeg. Minerals 12, no. 12: 1498.

Leväniemi, H. 2013. Lithium Pegmatite Prospectivity Modelling in Somero-Tammela Area, Southern Finland. Geological Survey of Finland, archive report 151/2013. 15p.

Steiner, B. 2019: Tools and workflows for grassroots Li–Cs–Ta (LCT) pegmatite exploration. Minerals. 9:499.

Trueman, D.L. and Cerný, P.,1982: Exploration for rare-element granitic pegmatites, in Granitic Pegmatites in Science and Industry: Mineralogical Association of Canada, Short Couse Handbook 8, p. 463–494.



hanks



@ booth #2143